

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,545	03/28/2001	Ron H. Niswander	43050	5242
109	7590 04/20/2006		EXAMINER	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
P. O. BOX 19			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIDLAND, I	MI 48641-1967		1732	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/762,545	NISWANDER, RON H.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan Kuhns	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONtatute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	11 January 2006		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal matt	·	3
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-26</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16-26</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		–	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	-··	, ,	41
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			<i>.</i> , .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1732

- 1.lt still appears that "an" should be "and" in clause I, line 2 of claim 20.
- 2.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3.Claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-20, 22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mackey (5,670,553) as set forth in the previous Office action.
- 4.Claims 2, 4 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mackey as applied to claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-20, 22 and 24-26 above, and further in view of Clatty as set forth in the previous Office action.
- 5.Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mackey as applied to claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-20, 22 and 24-26 above, and further in view of WO 98/25985 (Horn et al.) Horn et al. (6,169,124) is being used as a translation for the '25985 reference. At column 19, lines 9-18 of the '124 reference, Horn et al. teach that mineral oils are suitable in combination with other mold release agents. Based on this teaching of Horn et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate mineral oil into the composition of Mackey in order to enhance mold release properties.
- 6.Claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-20 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mackey in view of WO 98/25985 (Horn et al.). The relevant teaching of Horn et al. and reason for combination with Mackey are as set forth in the immediately preceding paragraph.

7.Claims 2, 4 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mackey in view of WO 98/25985 as applied to claims 1, 3, 5-14, 16-20 and 22-26 above, and further in view of Clatty. The relevant teachings of Clatty and reason for combination are as set forth in prior Office actions.

8.Applicant's arguments filed January 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant notes that the examiner has contended that carboxylic acid can be viewed as an enhancer component, and asserts that the specification at page 6, lines 8-11 defines the enhancer component as a liquid petroleum oil. Applicant recites a definition for "petroleum" and then argues that since carboxylic acid is not a liquid petroleum, the examiner's contention is in error. The examiner disagrees because the instant claim language requires the presence of an IMR enhancer compound, and column 3, lines 9-31 of Mackey describes the IMR enhancing effects of certain carboxylic acids.

Applicant also argues that the examiner's contention that Mackey teaches or suggests the aspect of reacting a fatty acid condensation product with an isocyanate in the presence of an IMR enhancer compound. It is the examiner's position that such a reaction would be inherent, given the constituents present in the system of Mackey.

With regard to claim 23, the examiner is now relying on WO 98/25985 to support the contention that mineral oils are known to enhance internal mold release.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/762,545 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to

5:30.

273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

4-15-06